



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/685,940	10/10/2000	Shi Kun Huang	5325-0166.30	6690
7590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER		
Paul B. Simbo	oli		KISHORE, GC	LLAMUDI S
1900 Charleston	n Road, Bldg. M10-3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7210			ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER
Mountain View, CA 94039-7210			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Om 1 1 2	09/685,940	HUANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ıne 2004</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 and 30-33 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 and 30-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		ı,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
.ttachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					
Potent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/685,940

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

RCE dated 6-13-04 is acknowledged.

Claims included in the prosecution are 1-18 and 30-33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-15, and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff (5,965,434).

Wolf discloses liposomal compositions containing instant compounds where L is an ester and Z is either imidazole or an amino group. The liposomes carry either polypeptides or nucleic acids for transfection. The liposomes further include targeting ligand (note the abstract, structures on col. 6 and 7, compound 1 on col. 20, compounds 3 and 4 on columns 21 and 22, Examples 2-16 and claims).

According to instant independent claims, n can be either 0 or up to 20. When n=0, the imidazole (weakly basic group) is directly linked to 'L'; according to instant claims, L is selected from a Markush group wherein one of the members is a CH2 group (iv in instant independent claims). In such a case, there will be 2 CH2 groups in instant compound. When n is 1 or more in instant compounds, then the CH2 groups are more

Application/Control Number: 09/685,940

Art Unit: 1615

than 2. In Wolff, 'n' is 1 and therefore, there is only one CH2 group next to the imidazole moiety (weakly basic group); instant compounds therefore, are homologues of Wolff's compounds and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare liposomes containing compounds where n is zero or more than one with an expectation of obtaining at least similar results, since homologues are expected to behave the same way.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but are not found to be persuasive. Applicant argues that Wolff's disclosure is a broad disclosure encompassing thousands of compounds. The examiner disagrees because the compounds 1 and 3 in Wolff are specific compounds and not compounds with a general formula as applicant is claiming through instant claims (which also incidentally encompass multitudes of compounds). The rejection is maintained.

3. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff cited above, further in view of Allen 96,056,973).

The teachings of Wolff have been discussed above. What are lacking in Wolff are the teachings of FGF as the targeting ligand.

Allen while disclosing liposomal compositions containing therapeutic agents, teaches that liposomes can be targeted to tumor cells by inclusion of targeting ligands such as FGF (note the abstract, col. 11, lines 45-53).

The inclusion of targeting ligand such as FGF in the liposomal compositions of Wolff would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since with such an inclusion, one could target tumor cells as taught by Allen.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,940

Art Unit: 1615

4. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff cited above, further in view of Zalipsky (5,395,619) of record.

Wolff does not teach the inclusion of a lipid derivatized with PEG.

Zalipsky while disclosing liposome formulations teaches that inclusion of a polymer-lipid conjugate will extend the circulation time of the liposome by several fold (note the abstract and col. 8, lines 45-53).

The inclusion of a polymer-lipid conjugate in the liposomes of Wolff would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since such an inclusion would extend the circulation time of the liposomes as taught by Zalipsky.

Applicant's arguments to the above two rejections have been fully considered, but are not found to be persuasive. The only argument put forth by applicant is that Allen or Zalipsky do not supply the missing information from Wolff. Since applicant's arguments regarding Wolff have already been addressed, the rejections are maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is (571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/685,940

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615

GSK